Syntax and Semantics

Lecture 04

Instructor: C. Pu (Ph.D., Assistant Professor)

puc@marshall.edu





- One of the problems in describing a language is the diversity of the people who must understand the description
 - Initial evaluators
 - Language's designer
 - The success of feedback cycle depends heavily on the clarity of the description
 - Implementers
 - Determine how the expressions, statements, and program units of a language are formed, and intended effect when executed
 - Users
 - Determine how to encode software solutions referring to a language reference manual





- The study of programming languages, like the study of natural languages, can be divided into examinations of **syntax** and **semantics**
 - Syntax: the form of its expressions, statements, and program units
 - Semantics: the meaning of those expressions, statements, and program units





For example

while (boolean_expr) statement

- Semantics:
 - When the current value of Boolean expression is true, the embedded statement is executed.
 - Then control implicitly returns to the boolean_expr expression to repeat the process.
 - Otherwise, control continues after the *while* construct.





- Syntax and semantics are closely related
- In a well-defined programming language, semantics should follow directly from syntax
 - The appearance of a statement should strongly suggest what the statement is meant to accomplish





Describing Syntax

- A language, whether natural or artificial, is a set of strings of characters from some alphabet
- The strings of a language are called sentences or statements
- The syntax rules of a language specify which strings of characters from the language's alphabet are in the language
- For example, English
 - Has a large and complex collection of rules for specifying the syntax of its sentences





- The smallest units programming languages are called lexemes
- The lexemes of a programming language include its numeric literals, operators, and special words, among others
 - One can think of programs as strings of lexemes rather than of characters
- Lexemes are partitioned into groups
- Each lexeme group is represented by a name, or **token**
 - A token of a language is a category of its lexemes





Describing Syntax

- For example, an identifier is a token that can have lexemes, or instances, such as sum and total
- In some cases, a token has only a single possible lexeme
 - For example, the token for the arithmetic operator symbol + has just one possible lexeme





- Consider the following Java statement: index = 2 * count + 17;
- The lexemes and tokens of this statement are

Lexemes	Tokens
index	identifier
=	equal_sign
2	int_literal
*	mult_op
count	identifier
+	plus_op
17	int_literal
;	semicolon



Language

- In general, languages can be formally defined in two distinct ways:
 - Recognition
 - Generation



Language Recognizers

- Suppose we have a language L that uses an alphabet S of characters
- To define L formally using the recognition method, we need to construct a mechanism R, called the recognition device, capable of reading strings of characters from the alphabet S
 - R would indicate whether a given input string was or was not in L
 - In effect, R would either accept or reject the given string
 - Such devices are like filters, separating legal sentences from those that are incorrectly formed



Language Recognizers

- If R, when fed any string of characters over S, accepts it only if it is in L, then R is a description of L.
- Because most useful languages are, for all practical purposes, infinite, this might seem like a lengthy and ineffective process.
- Recognition devices, however, are not used to enumerate all of the sentences of a language—they have a different purpose.



Language Recognizers

- The syntax analysis part of a compiler is a recognizer for the language the compiler translates
- The recognizer need not test all possible strings of characters from some set to determine whether each is in the language
- Rather, it need only determine whether given programs are in the language
- In effect then, the syntax analyzer determines whether the given programs are syntactically correct





 A language generator is a device that can be used to generate the sentences of a language



Formal Methods of Describing Syntax

- In the mid-1950s, Chomsky, a noted linguist (among other things), described four classes of generative devices or grammars that define four classes of languages (Chomsky, 1956, 1959)
- Two of these grammar classes, named context-free and regular, turned out to be useful for describing the syntax of programming languages
 - The forms of the tokens of programming languages can be described by regular grammars
 - The syntax of whole programming languages can be described by context-free grammars



Formal Methods of Describing Syntax

- Backus-Naur Form, or simply BNF, is a natural notation for describing syntax
- It is remarkable that BNF is nearly identical to Chomsky's generative devices for context-free languages, called context-free grammars





- A metalanguage is a language that is used to describe another language
- BNF is a metalanguage for programming languages
- BNF uses abstractions for syntactic structures
- A simple Java assignment statement, for example, might be represented by the abstraction <assign>

 $\langle assign \rangle \rightarrow \langle var \rangle = \langle expression \rangle$

left-hand side (LHS)

right-hand side (RHS)

- Tokens
- Lexemes
- References to other abstraction

Altogether, the definition is called a *rule* or *production*





A simple Java assignment statement, for example, might be represented by the abstraction <assign>

 $\langle assign \rangle \rightarrow \langle var \rangle = \langle expression \rangle$

- This particular rule specifies that
 - the abstraction <assign> is defined as an instance of the abstraction <var>
 - followed by the lexeme =
 - followed by an instance of the abstraction <expression>
- One example sentence whose syntactic structure is described by the rule is

```
total = subtotal1 + subtotal2
```





- The abstractions in a BNF description, or grammar, are often called nonterminal symbols, or simply nonterminals
- The *lexemes* and *tokens* of the rules are called terminal symbols, or simply *terminals*
- A BNF description, or grammar, is a collection of rules
- Nonterminal symbols can have two or more distinct definitions, representing two or more possible syntactic forms in the language
 - Multiple definitions can be written as a single rule, with the different definitions separated by the symbol |, meaning logical OR





For example, a Java if statement can be described with the rules

```
\label{eq:construction} \begin{array}{l} <\!\!if\_stmt\!\!> \to \texttt{if} ( <\!\!logic\_expr\!\!> ) <\!\!stmt\!\!> \\ <\!\!if\_stmt\!\!> \to \texttt{if} ( <\!\!logic\_expr\!\!> ) <\!\!stmt\!\!> \texttt{else} <\!\!stmt\!\!> \\ \end{array}
```

or with the rule

 $\langle if_stmt \rangle \rightarrow if (\langle logic_expr \rangle) \langle stmt \rangle$ | if ($\langle logic_expr \rangle$) $\langle stmt \rangle else \langle stmt \rangle$

In these rules, <stmt> represents either a single statement or a compound statement





Describing Lists

- Variable-length lists in mathematics are often written using an ellipsis (...)
 - $I, 2, \ldots$ is an example
- BNF does not include the ellipsis, so an alternative method is required for describing lists of syntactic elements in programming languages
- For BNF, the alternative is *recursion*
- A rule is recursive if its LHS appears in its RHS
- The following rules illustrate how recursion is used to describe lists

 $\langle \text{ident_list} \rangle \rightarrow \text{identifier}$

| identifier, <ident list>



- A grammar is a generative device for defining languages
- The sentences of the language are generated through a sequence of applications of the rules, beginning with a special nonterminal of the grammar called the start symbol
- This sequence of rule applications is called a *derivation*
- In a grammar for a complete programming language, the start symbol represents a complete program and is often named <program>



The simple grammar for assignment

A Grammar for a Small Language <program> → begin <stmt_list> end <stmt_list> → <stmt> | <stmt> ; <stmt_list> <stmt> → <var> = <expression> <var> → A | B | C <expression> → <var> + <var> | <var> - <var> | <var>



```
A derivation of a program in this language
```

begin A = B + C; B = C end

```
<program> => begin <stmt_list> end
=> begin <stmt>; <stmt_list> end
=> begin <var> = <expression> ; <stmt_list> end
=> begin A = <expression> ; <stmt_list> end
=> begin A = <var> + <var> ; <stmt_list> end
=> begin A = B + <var> ; <stmt_list> end
=> begin A = B + C ; <stmt_list> end
=> begin A = B + C ; <stmt> end
=> begin A = B + C ; <stmt> end
=> begin A = B + C ; <var> = <expression> end
=> begin A = B + C ; B = <expression> end
=> begin A = B + C ; B = <expression> end
=> begin A = B + C ; B = <expression> end
=> begin A = B + C ; B = <expression> end
=> begin A = B + C ; B = <expression> end
=> begin A = B + C ; B = <expression> end
=> begin A = B + C ; B = <expression> end
=> begin A = B + C ; B = C end
```

```
A Grammar for a Small Language

<program> → begin <stmt_list> end

<stmt_list> → <stmt>

| <stmt> ; <stmt_list>

<stmt> → <var> = <expression>

<var> → A | B | C

<expression> → <var> + <var>

| <var> - <var>

| <var>
```



- This derivation, like all derivations, begins with the start symbol, in this case <program>
- The symbol => is read "*derives*".
- Each successive string in the sequence is derived from the previous string by replacing one of the nonterminals with one of that nonterminal's definitions
- In this derivation, the replaced nonterminal is always the leftmost nonterminal in the previous sentential form.
 - Derivations that use this order of replacement are called *leftmost derivations*
- In addition to leftmost, a derivation may be rightmost or in an order that is neither leftmost nor rightmost.
- Derivation order has no effect on the language generated by a grammar



A Grammar for Simple Assignment Statements

```
\begin{array}{l} < assign > \rightarrow \ < id > \ = \ < expr > \\ < id > \rightarrow \ A \ | \ B \ | \ C \\ < expr > \rightarrow \ < id > \ + \ < expr > \\ \ | \ < id > \ * \ < expr > \\ \ | \ < id > \ * \ < expr > \\ \ | \ < id > \end{array}
```



- For example, the statement
 - A = B * (A + C)
 - <assign> => <id> = <expr> => A = <expr> => A = <id> * <expr> => A = B * <expr> => A = B * (<expr>) => A = B * (<id> + <expr>) => A = B * (A + <expr>) => A = B * (A + <id>) => A = B * (A + <id>)

