Lexical and Syntax Analysis

Lecture 07

Instructor: C. Pu (Ph.D., Assistant Professor)

puc@marshall.edu

- Three different approaches to implementing programming languages are introduced
	- *Compilation*
	- *Pure interpretation*
	- *Hybrid implementation*

- The *compilation* approach uses a program called a *compiler*
	- Translates programs written in a high-level programming language into machine code
- Compilation is typically used to implement programming languages that are used for large applications
	- For example, languages such as C++ and COBOL

- *Pure interpretation* systems perform no translation; rather, programs are interpreted in their original form by a software *interpreter*
	- Pure interpretation is usually used for smaller systems in which execution efficiency is not critical
		- For example, scripts embedded in HTML documents, written in languages such as JavaScript

- *Hybrid implementation* systems translate programs written in high-level languages into intermediate forms, which are interpreted
	- These systems are now more widely used than ever
	- Traditionally, hybrid systems have resulted in much slower program execution than compiler systems
	- However, in recent years the use of Just-in-Time (JIT) compilers has become widespread, particularly for Java programs and programs written for the Microsoft .NET system
	- A JIT compiler, which translates intermediate code to machine code, is used on methods at the time they are first called
	- In effect, a JIT compiler transforms a hybrid system to a delayed compiler system

- All three of the implementation approaches just discussed use both *lexical* and *syntax analyzers*
- **Syntax analyzers, or parsers, are nearly always based on a formal** description of the syntax of programs. The most commonly used syntax-description formalism is context-free grammars, or BNF
- **Using BNF, as opposed to using some informal syntax description,** has at least three compelling advantages
	- First, BNF descriptions of the syntax of programs are clear and concise, both for humans and for software systems that use them
	- Second, the BNF description can be used as the direct basis for the syntax analyzer
	- Third, implementations based on BNF are relatively easy to maintain because of their modularity

- Nearly all compilers separate the task of analyzing syntax into two distinct parts
	- *Lexical analysis*
	- *Syntax analysis*
- **The** *lexical analyzer* deals with small-scale language constructs, such as names and numeric literals
- **The syntax analyzer deals with the large-scale constructs, such as** expressions, statements, and program units

- There are three reasons why lexical analysis is separated from syntax analysis
	- *Simplicity*
		- Techniques for lexical analysis are less complex than those required for syntax analysis, so the lexical-analysis process can be simpler if it is separate
		- Also, removing the low-level details of lexical analysis from the syntax analyzer makes the syntax analyzer both smaller and less complex

- There are three reasons why lexical analysis is separated from syntax analysis
	- *Efficiency*
		- Although it pays to optimize the lexical analyzer, because lexical analysis requires a significant portion of total compilation time, it is not fruitful to optimize the syntax analyzer.
		- Separation facilitates this selective optimization.

- There are three reasons why lexical analysis is separated from syntax analysis
	- *Portability*
		- Because the lexical analyzer reads input program files and often includes buffering of that input, it is somewhat platform dependent.
		- However, the syntax analyzer can be platform independent.
		- **It is always good to isolate machine-dependent parts of any** software system.

- A *lexical analyzer* is essentially a *pattern matcher*
- A *pattern matcher* attempts to find a substring of a given string of characters that matches a given *character pattern*
- **Pattern matching is a traditional part of computing**
- **netaralleright** One of the earliest uses of pattern matching was with text editors, such as the *ed* line editor, which was introduced in an early version of UNIX
- **Since then, pattern matching has found its way into some** programming languages
	- For example, Perl and JavaScript
- **If it is also available through the standard class libraries of Java,** $C++$, and $C#$

- A lexical analyzer serves as the front end of a syntax analyzer
	- Technically, lexical analysis is a part of syntax analysis
- A lexical analyzer performs syntax analysis at the lowest level of program structure
	- An input program appears to a compiler as a single string of characters
	- The lexical analyzer collects characters into logical groupings and assigns internal codes to the groupings according to their structure
		- These logical groupings are named *lexemes*
		- The internal codes for categories of these groupings are named *tokens*
- **Lexemes are recognized by matching the input character string** against character string patterns

Consider the following example of an assignment statement

```
result = \text{oldsum} - \text{value} / 100;
```
Following are the tokens and lexemes of this statement

- *Lexical analyzers extract lexemes from a given input string and produce the corresponding tokens*
	- In the early days of compilers, lexical analyzers often processed an entire source program file and produced a file of tokens and lexemes
- Now, however, most lexical analyzers are subprograms that locate the next lexeme in the input, determine its associated token code, and return them to the caller, which is the syntax analyzer
	- Each call to the lexical analyzer returns a single lexeme and its token
	- The only view of the input program seen by the syntax analyzer is the output of the lexical analyzer, one token at a time

- The lexical-analysis process includes skipping comments and white space outside lexemes, as they are not relevant to the meaning of the program
- **EXTERUM** Also, the lexical analyzer inserts lexemes for user-defined names into the symbol table, which is used by later phases of the compiler
- Finally, lexical analyzers detect syntactic errors in tokens, such as ill-formed floating-point literals, and report such errors to the user

- A state transition diagram, or just *state diagram*, is a directed graph
	- The nodes of a state diagram are labeled with state names
	- The arcs are labeled with the input characters that cause the transitions among the states
		- An arc may also include actions the lexical analyzer must perform when the transition is taken
- State diagrams of the form used for lexical analyzers are representations of a class of mathematical machines called *finite automata*
	- Finite automata can be designed to recognize members of a class of languages called regular languages

- We now illustrate lexical-analyzer construction with a state diagram and the code that implements it
- The state diagram could simply include states and transitions for each and every token pattern
- However, that approach results in a very large and complex diagram, because every node in the state diagram would need a transition for every character in the character set of the language being analyzed
- **No. 2.5 We therefore consider ways to simplify it.**

- Suppose we need a lexical analyzer that recognizes only arithmetic expressions, including variable names and integer literals as operands
	- Assume that the variable names consist of strings of uppercase letters, lowercase letters, and digits but must begin with a letter
	- Names have no length limitation

- The first thing to observe is that there are 52 different characters (any uppercase or lowercase letter) that can begin a name, which would require 52 transitions from the transition diagram's initial state
	- However, a lexical analyzer is interested only in determining that it is a name and is not concerned with which specific name it happens to be
	- Therefore, we define a character class named LETTER for all 52 letters and use a single transition on the first letter of any name

- Another opportunity for simplifying the transition diagram is with the integer literal tokens
	- There are 10 different characters that could begin an integer literal lexeme
		- This would require 10 transitions from the start state of the state diagram
	- Because specific digits are not a concern of the lexical analyzer, we can build a much more compact state diagram if we define a character class named DIGIT for digits and use a single transition on any character in this character class to a state that collects integer literals
	- Because our names can include digits, the transition from the node following the first character of a name can use a single transition on LETTER or DIGIT to continue collecting the characters of a name.

- Next, we define some utility subprograms for the common tasks inside the lexical analyzer
	- First, we need a subprogram, which we can name getChar, that has several duties
		- When called, getChar gets the next character of input from the input program and puts it in the global variable nextChar
		- getChar must also determine the character class of the input character and put it in the global variable charClass
		- The lexeme being built by the lexical analyzer, which could be implemented as a character string or an array, will be named lexeme

- We implement the process of putting the character in nextChar into the string array lexeme in a subprogram named addChar
	- This subprogram must be explicitly called because programs include some characters that need not be put in lexeme, for example the white-space characters between lexemes
	- In a more realistic lexical analyzer, comments also would not be placed in lexeme

- When the lexical analyzer is called, it is convenient if the next character of input is the first character of the next lexeme
- Because of this, a function named getNonBlank is used to skip white space every time the analyzer is called

-
- Finally, a subprogram named lookup is needed to compute the token code for the single-character tokens
	- In our example, these are parentheses and the arithmetic operators
- Token codes are numbers arbitrarily assigned to tokens by the compiler writer

The state diagram describes the patterns for our tokens

- The following is a C implementation of a lexical analyzer specified in the state diagram, including a main driver function for testing purposes
	- **front.c**
- **EXECONS** Consider the following expression:

 $(sum + 47)$ / total

Fi Following is the output of the lexical analyzer of front.c when used on this expression

