

Computer Networks and the Internet



Instructor: C. Pu (Ph.D., Assistant Professor)

Lecture 03


puc@marshall.edu



Complete Review Quiz I on Blackboard


Network Core: Circuit Switching

- Two fundamental approaches to moving data through a network of links and switches
- **packet-switched networks**
 - resource *not reserved*
 - use resources on demand
 - may wait for access to commu. link
- **circuit-switched networks**
 - resource for communication *reserved*
 - between two end systems
 - for duration of the communication session
- simple analogy,
 - restaurant *requires* reservation “=” circuit-switched
 - restaurant *requires no* reservation “=” packet-switched




Network Core: Circuit Switching

- traditional telephone networks
 - **circuit-switched networks**
- what will happen if you want to talk to someone?
 - before sender sends information
 - must establish the connection
 - switches maintain connection
 - connection is called **circuit**
 - When network establishes **circuit**
 - **reserves** a constant trans. rate
 - in network's link
 - for the duration of connection
 - transfer at the **guaranteed** rate



Network Core: Circuit Switching


- four switches interconnected by four links
- each link has four circuits
 - support *four simultaneous connections*
- when two hosts (A & C) want to communicate
 - Network establishes a **dedicated end-to-end connection**
 - reserve one *circuit* on each of two links
- each connection gets one fourth of the link's total trans. capacity
 - e.g., link: 1Mbps, circuit: 250 kbps



Circuit-switched network


Network Core: Circuit Switching

- what happens when transmit a packet over a packet-switched network?
 - packet sent into the network *without reserving* any link resources
 - if one of links is *congested* because other packets are transmitting over the link at the same time
 - packet will wait in a buffer and suffer a delay
- the Internet makes its best effort to deliver packets in a timely manner, but no guarantees



Network Core: Circuit Switching

- network resources (e.g., bandwidth)
divided into “pieces”
 - pieces allocated to calls (or communications)
 - resource piece is idle if not used by owning call (communications)
 - **no sharing**
- dividing link bandwidth into “pieces”
 - **frequency division**
 - **time division**





Circuit Switching: FDM and TDM

- circuit in a link is implemented with
 - **frequency-division multiplexing (FDM)**
 - the frequency spectrum of a link is divided up among the connections established across the link
 - the link dedicates a frequency band to each connection for the duration of connection
 - telephone networks: 4 kHz
 - FM radio: between 88 MHz and 108 MHz
 - **time-division multiplexing (TDM)**
 - time is divided into frames of fixed duration, and each frame is divided into a fixed number of time slots
 - when establishing a connection, dedicating one time slot in every frame to the connection
 - slots are dedicated for the sole use of that connection, to transmit the connection's data

Circuit Switching: FDM and TDM


FDM

Example:

4 users




frequency



TDM

frequency



Circuit Switching: Argument

- circuit switching is wasteful because dedicated circuits are idle during **silent periods**
 - e.g.,
 - One person in a telephone call stops talking
 - resources can't be used by other ongoing connections
 - Radiologist remotely access a series of x-rays
 - setup connection
 - request an image
 - **contemplate the image** but, not using network resources
 - request a new image
- circuit switching requires extra effort to establish circuits and reserve end-to-end trans. capacity, complex signaling software to coordinate the operation of switches



Circuit Switching: Numerical Example

- How long does it take to send a file of 640,000 bits from host A to host B over a circuit-switched network?
 - all links are 1.536 Mbps
 - each link uses TDM with 24 slots/sec
 - 500 msec to establish end-to-end circuit

 - Answer:
 - each circuit has a trans. rate of $1.536 \text{ Mbps} / 24 = 64 \text{ kbps}$
 - trans. file: $640,000 \text{ bits} / 64 \text{ kbps} = 10 \text{ seconds}$
 - total time: $10 \text{ seconds} + 500 \text{ msec} = 10.5 \text{ seconds}$




Packet Switching Vs. Circuit Switching

- Critics of packet switching
 - not suitable for real-time switching, e.g., telephone call, video conference calls
 - variable and unpredictable end-to-end delays
- Proponents of packet switching
 - better sharing of trans. capacity
 - simpler, more efficient, and less costly
- Generally, packet switching is more efficient. Why???

Packet Switching Vs. Circuit Switching

Packet switching allows more users to use network!

- 1 Mbps link
- suppose one user generates one thousand 1000-bit packet out of 10 users
 - 9 users remain quiet
- *TDM circuit-switching:*
 - e.g., 10 time slots per frame
 - the active user can only use its one time slot per frame
 - support 10 simultaneous users
- *packet switching:*
 - The active users can continuously send its packet at the full link rate of 1 Mbps





Packet Switching Vs. Circuit Switching (cont.)

Is packet switching a “slam dunk winner?”

- great for burst data
 - resource sharing
 - simpler, no call setup
- **excessive congestion:** packet delay and loss
 - protocols needed for reliable data transfer, congestion control
- **Q: how to provide circuit-like behavior?**
 - bandwidth guarantees needed for audio/video apps
 - still an unsolved problem




Internet Structure: Network of Networks

- End systems connect to the Internet via an access ISPs (Internet Service Providers)
 - wired or wireless connectivity
 - DSL, cable, FTTH, Wi-Fi, and cellular
 - residential, company, and university ISPs
- Access ISPs in turn must be interconnected
 - so that any two hosts can send packets to each other
- Resulting **network of networks** is very complex
 - evolution was driven by economics and national policies


Internet Structure: Network of Networks (cont.)

Question: given *millions* of access ISPs, how to connect them together?




Internet Structure: Network of Networks (cont.)

Option: connect each access ISP to every other access ISP?




Internet Structure: Network of Networks (cont.)

Option: connect each access ISP to a global transit ISP? Customer and provider ISPs have economic agreement.




Internet Structure: Network of Networks (cont.)

But if one global ISP is viable business, there will be competitors




Internet Structure: Network of Networks (cont.)

But if one global ISP is viable business, there will be competitors
which must be interconnected




Internet Structure: Network of Networks (cont.)

... and regional networks may arise to connect access nets to ISPs




Internet Structure: Network of Networks (cont.)

... and content provider networks (e.g., Google, Microsoft, Akamai) may run their own network, to bring services, content close to end users



Internet Structure: Network of Networks (cont.)



- ❖ at center: small # of well-connected large networks
 - “tier-1” commercial ISPs (e.g., Level 3, Sprint, AT&T, NTT), national & international coverage
 - content provider network (e.g., Google)